

Appendix E
Air Conformity Applicability Model Reports

This page intentionally left blank.

Air Quality Analysis Resources and Methodologies

The following information is provided for additional detail on air pollutants evaluated in the Proposed Action and No Action Alternative air quality impacts analysis and on the methodologies used in the impact analysis.

Criteria Pollutants

National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) are currently established for the criteria air pollutants ozone (O₃), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), respirable particulate matter (including particulates equal to or less than 10 microns in diameter [PM₁₀] and particulates equal to or less than 2.5 microns in diameter [PM_{2.5}]), and lead (Pb). The primary NAAQS represent maximum levels of background air pollution that are considered safe, with an adequate margin of safety to protect public health. Secondary NAAQS represent the maximum pollutant concentration necessary to protect vegetation, crops, and other public resources in addition to maintaining visibility standards.

The criteria pollutant O₃ is not usually emitted directly into the air but is formed in the atmosphere by photochemical reactions involving sunlight and previously emitted pollutants, or “O₃ precursors.” These O₃ precursors consist primarily of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that are directly emitted from a wide range of emission sources. For this reason, regulatory agencies limit atmospheric O₃ concentrations by controlling VOC pollutants (also identified as reactive organic gases) and NO_x.

The United States (U.S.) Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has recognized that particulate matter emissions can have different health effects depending on particle size and, therefore, developed separate NAAQS for coarse particulate matter (PM₁₀) and fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}). The pollutant PM_{2.5} can be emitted from emission sources directly as very fine dust and/or liquid mist or formed secondarily in the atmosphere as condensable particulate matter, typically forming nitrate and sulfate compounds. Secondary (indirect) emissions vary by region depending upon the predominant emission sources located there and thus which precursors are considered significant for PM_{2.5} formation and identified for ultimate control.

The Clean Air Act and EPA delegated responsibility for ensuring compliance with NAAQS to the states and local agencies. As such, each state must develop air pollutant control programs and promulgate regulations and rules that focus on meeting NAAQS and maintaining healthy ambient air quality levels. When a region or area fails to meet a NAAQS for a pollutant, that region is classified as “non-attainment” for that pollutant. In such cases, the affected state must develop a State Implementation Plan (SIP) that is subject to EPA review and approval. A SIP is a compilation of regulations, strategies, schedules, and enforcement actions designed to move the state into compliance with all NAAQS. Any changes to the compliance schedule or plan (e.g., new regulations, emissions budgets, controls) must be incorporated into the SIP and approved by EPA.

Analytical Methodology

Departures, landings, closed patterns, and low flight patterns for aircraft were evaluated in ACAM. Time in modes (TIMs) for landings and takeoffs (LTOs), closed patterns and low flight patterns

were obtained from the *Final Data Validation Package for Ellsworth Air Force Base in Support of the Powder River Training Complex Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and Final Data Validation Package for the Powder River Training Complex Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement*.

AIR CONFORMITY APPLICABILITY MODEL REPORT

RECORD OF CONFORMITY ANALYSIS (ROCA)

1. General Information: The Air Force's Air Conformity Applicability Model (ACAM) was used to perform a net change in emissions analysis to assess the potential air quality impact/s associated with the action. The analysis was performed in accordance with the Air Force Manual 32-7002, *Environmental Compliance and Pollution Prevention*; the *Environmental Impact Analysis Process* (EIAP, 32 CFR 989); the *General Conformity Rule* (GCR, 40 CFR 93 Subpart B); and the *USAF Air Quality Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP) Guide*. This report provides a summary of the ACAM analysis.

Report generated with ACAM version: 5.0.24a

a. Action Location:

Base: ELLSWORTH AFB

State: Montana

County(s): Rosebud

Regulatory Area(s): NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA; Lame Deer, MT

b. Action Title: Optimization of Powder River Training Complex

c. Project Number/s (if applicable):

d. Projected Action Start Date: 1 / 2029

e. Action Description:

Expand training airspaces to utilize higher altitudes for training; expand day-to-day and LFE training events

f. Point of Contact:

Name: Raul Castillo

Title: Air Quality Analyst

Organization: Stantec

Email:

Phone Number:

2. Analysis: Total reasonably foreseeable net change in direct and indirect emissions associated with the action were estimated through ACAM on a calendar-year basis for the "worst-case" (highest annual emissions) and "steady state" (no net gain/loss in emission stabilized and the action is fully implemented) emissions. General Conformity under the Clean Air Act, Section 1.76 has been evaluated for the action described above according to the requirements of 40 CFR 93, Subpart B.

All emissions estimates were derived from various sources using the methods, algorithms, and emission factors from the most current *Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Stationary Sources*, *Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Mobile Sources*, and/or *Air Emissions Guide for Air Force Transitory Sources*. For greater details of this analysis, refer to the Detail ACAM Report.

☐ applicable
☒ not applicable

Conformity Analysis Summary:

2029

Pollutant	Action Emissions (ton/yr)	GENERAL CONFORMITY	
		Threshold (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or No)
NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA			
VOC	0.152		

AIR CONFORMITY APPLICABILITY MODEL REPORT

RECORD OF CONFORMITY ANALYSIS (ROCA)

NO_x	21.336		
CO	0.855		
SO_x	1.067		
PM 10	1.058		
PM 2.5	0.935		
Pb	0.000		
NH₃	0.000		
Lame Deer, MT			
VOC	0.152		
NO_x	21.336		
CO	0.855		
SO_x	1.067		
PM 10	1.058	100	No
PM 2.5	0.935		
Pb	0.000		
NH₃	0.000		

2030 - (Steady State)

Pollutant	Action Emissions (ton/yr)	GENERAL CONFORMITY	
		Threshold (ton/yr)	Exceedance (Yes or No)
NOT IN A REGULATORY AREA			
VOC	0.152		
NOx	21.336		
CO	0.855		
SOx	1.067		
PM 10	1.058		
PM 2.5	0.935		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.000		
Lame Deer, MT			
VOC	0.152		
NOx	21.336		
CO	0.855		
SOx	1.067		
PM 10	1.058	100	No
PM 2.5	0.935		
Pb	0.000		
NH3	0.000		

The Criteria Pollutants (or their precursors) with a General Conformity threshold listed in the table above are pollutants within one or more designated nonattainment or maintenance area/s for the associated National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). These pollutants are driving this GCR Applicability Analysis. Pollutants exceeding the GCR thresholds must be further evaluated potentially through a GCR Determination.

The pollutants without a General Conformity threshold are pollutants only within areas designated attainment for the associated NAAQS. These pollutants have an insignificance indicator for VOC, NO_x, CO, SO_x, PM 10, PM 2.5, and NH₃ of 250 ton/yr (Prevention of Significant Deterioration major source threshold) and 25 ton/yr for Pb (GCR de minimis value). Pollutants below their insignificance indicators are at rates so insignificant that they will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of one or more NAAQSs. These indicators do not define a significant impact; however, they do provide a threshold to identify actions that are insignificant. Refer to the *Level II, Air Quality Quantitative Assessment Insignificance Indicators* for further details.

AIR CONFORMITY APPLICABILITY MODEL REPORT

RECORD OF CONFORMITY ANALYSIS (ROCA)

None of the annual net change in estimated emissions associated with this action are above the GCR threshold values established at 40 CFR 93.153 (b); therefore, the proposed Action has an insignificant impact on Air Quality and a General Conformity Determination is not applicable.

Raul Castillo, Air Quality Analyst

Apr 23 2026

Name, Title

Date